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#### Abstract

A nonlinear model of Pégase, a mini aerial vehicle, is established from the data of wind tunnel test. The interpolation of the force and moment coefficients is introduced. After that, a level straight flight linearization equation is obtained. According to this equation, based on the idea of dynamic inversion, an attitude control law is devised and verified by nonlinear simulation. Later on, the 2-D guidance loop is designed. It includes the altitude control loop and heading control loop. Finally a simple attitude determination algorithm that is being done now is presented.
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## I. Introduction

Pégase-50 is a Mini aerial vehicle (MAV) which was developed by ENSICA ${ }^{[1]}$. It has a delta-wing configuration with elevator and aileron. Aileron can also be used as elevator. The general characteristics of Pégase are as follows:

- Wing span $b=0.5 m$
- Length $L=0.34 m$
- Wing area $S_{\text {ref }}=0.0925 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
- Aerodynamic mean chord $c=0.185 m$
- Speed of cruising $V_{0}=50 / 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$


## II. Wind Tunnel Test

In order to obtain the mathematic model of the MAV for designing and evaluating the guidance and control law, wind tunnel test has been carried out in Centre D'essais Aéronautique de Toulouse Soufflerie $\mathrm{S} 4^{[2]}$. At a fixed airspeed, i.e. $20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, relationship between three force coefficients, three
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moment coefficients and angle of $\operatorname{attack}\left(\alpha\right.$, from $-30^{\circ}$
to $30^{\circ}$ ), side-slip angle
Figure 1 Pégase-50 in the wind tunnel
( $\beta$, from $0^{\circ}$ to $45^{\circ}$ ), control surfaces(elevator $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{e}$ : $-5^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}, 5^{\circ}$; aileron $\delta_{a}: 0^{\circ}, 5^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}$; or aileron acts as elevator with the deflection of $\left.-10^{\circ},-5^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}, 5^{\circ}\right)$ is presented by the test. That means there exists a map or multidimensional function from those four variables to the six coefficients. With these data and inertial moment of the MAV, by proper interpolation, the full degree nonlinear model of Pégase can be obtained.

## III. MODELLING

To fulfil the mathematic model, the force coefficients and moment coefficients are needed. They are multi-dimensional function of angle of attack, side-slip angle and control surfaces and can be obtained by interpolation of the discrete values from the wind tunnel test. Four interpolation methods, including linear interpolation, cubic interpolation, nearest neighbour interpolation and spline interpolation had been tested. Linear interpolation had been chosen at last because of the computation speed and interpolation performance consideration.

## A. Interpolation of Six Coefficients

## Lift coefficient ( $c_{z}$ )

Accroding to the result of wind tunnel test, the angle of attack, side-slip angle and elevator determine lift coefficient while the effect of aileron can be ignored (it can be seen from figure 2). Figure 2 shows the variation of lift coefficient when the position of aileron is $0^{\circ}, 5^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}$ (the position of elevator is
set as $0^{\circ}$, side-slip angle is $0^{\circ}$ ). We can notice that when the position of aileron changes in its whole range, the lift coefficient almost keeps the same value. Therefore by threedimensional linear interpolation the lift coefficient can be determined.

Figure 3 is volumetric slice plot of the three-dimensional interpolation result of lift coefficient. The three axes denote the variables of the function and the changing of the colour in the slice denotes the different function value.


Figure 2 Relation between lift coefficient and aileron


Figure 3 Volumetric slice plot of lift coefficient
By the same idea, the other five coefficients can be obtained. The whole results can be summarized as the following diagram:


Figure 4 Relationship between aerodynamics
coefficient and variables of the wind tunnel test It is can be seen from this diagram that lateral force coefficient $\left(c_{y}\right)$ is determined by angle of attack and side-slip angle, lateral moment coefficients ( $m_{x}, m_{z}$ ) are functions of angle of attack, side-slip angle and aileron while aileron is of little effect on longitudinal forces coefficient ( $c_{x}, c_{z}$ ).
However, all the control surfaces make noticeable contributions to pitch moment coefficient ( $m_{y}$ ). Thus fourdimensional interpolation needed to obtain this coefficient.

## B. Equation of the MAV

From the six coefficients obtained above and the evaluation of inertial moment, a standard twelve-degree nonlinear equation can be derived. The twelve states of the equation are three-dimensional position in earth frame, three velocities in body frame, three body angular rates and the attitude of MAV. Please find the equation in appendix.

## IV. CONTROL LAW DESIGN

## A. Linearization of the nonlinear equation

It not necessary to use the nonlinear equation to design guidance and control law, therefore considering a level straight flight condition:
$V_{x}=20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, V_{y}=V_{z}=0, \theta=\psi=\varphi=0, \delta_{e}=-4^{\circ}$, $\delta_{a}=0$
where $V_{x}, V_{y}, V_{z}$ are velocities of MAV and $\Theta, \Psi, \varphi$ are pitch angle, heading angle and rolling angle. Then the linear equation of this flight state can be obtained:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{q}=-0.02 V_{x}-2.44 V_{z}-1.81 \delta_{e} \\
\dot{\theta}=q \\
\dot{p}=-1.47 \delta_{a} \\
\dot{\varphi}=p
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p$ is rolling angular rate, $q$ is pitch angular rate. The yaw angle can only be adjusted by the control of rolling angle because only two control variables are available. Suppose that airspeed can be controlled in another close loop, the equation above becomes:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{q}=-1.81 \delta_{e} \\
\dot{\theta}=q \\
\dot{p}=-1.47 \delta_{a} \\
\dot{\varphi}=p
\end{array}\right.
$$

## B. Control law design

Therefore a very simple attitude control law can be obtained by applying the idea of dynamic inversion:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\delta}_{e}=-0.55 k_{11}\left[k_{12}\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{d}-\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)-q\right] \\
\boldsymbol{\delta}_{a}=-0.68 k_{21}\left[k_{22}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{d}-\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right)-p\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k_{11}, k_{12}, k_{21}, k_{22}$ are control parameters to guarantee enough bandwidth and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{d}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{d}$ are desired attitude of the MAV. In fact, the close loop poles are

$$
\frac{-k_{i 1} \pm \sqrt{k_{i 1}^{2}-4 k_{i 1} k_{i 2}}}{2}, i=1,2
$$

## C. Airspeed controller design

To guarantee the performance of attitude control, airspeed must be controlled accurately. A PID propulsion controller is designed as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T h_{c}=m g \sin \boldsymbol{\theta}+D+\mathbf{K}_{d v}{ }^{T} \dot{\mathbf{V}}^{D}+\mathbf{K}_{v}{ }^{T}\left(\mathbf{V}^{D}-\mathbf{V}_{A}\right) \\
& +\mathbf{K}_{\Delta P}{ }^{T}\left(\mathbf{P}^{D}-\mathbf{P}_{A}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$T h_{c}$ is the propulsion command; $m$ denotes the mass of the $\mathrm{MAV} ; g$ is gravity acceleration; $\mathbf{K}_{d v}, \mathbf{K}_{v}, \mathbf{K}_{\Delta P}$ are three weight vectors; $\dot{\mathbf{V}}^{D}, \mathbf{V}^{D}, \mathbf{P}^{D}$ are required acceleration, velocity and position vectors; $\mathbf{V}_{A}, \mathbf{P}_{A}$ are actual velocity and position Vectors; D is drag force.

## D. Simulation results

Nonlinear simulation has been done to verify the effectiveness of attitude controller. The following figure 5 shows the step input response of pitch angle and rolling angle. At the same time, the variation of angle of attack, side-slip angle, control surfaces, heading angle and height are also given.

From the nonlinear simulation, conclusions can be drawn:

- The close loop attitude system is stable, the control law is effective.
- Heading can be controlled by adjusting rolling angle.
- There is an error in pitching channel.


## V. 2-D GUIDANCE

The aim of the guidance system is to control the heading of the MAV and to control the lateral position between actual trajectory and desired trajectory when the MAV keeps a certain height. Thus, the guidance system includes altitude holding loop, lateral position control and heading control which are based on the inner loop of attitude control. It is a kind of two-dimensional guidance. The guidance algorithm is simple, effective and easy to be implemented.

## A. Altitude holding control

The error of desired and actual height is introduced to the pitch angle control loop to constitute the altitude holding control loop. A saturation function is needed to limit the maximum value of feedback terms. Therefore the deflection command of elevator won't exceed its position limit. The altitude holding control law is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\delta}_{e}=-0.55 k_{11}\left[k_{12}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{d}-\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)-q\right] \\
& +k_{h} \operatorname{sat}\left(H-H_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H$ denotes the actual height of the MAV; $H_{0}$ is the desired height; $\operatorname{sat}(\bullet)$ denotes saturation function.

## B. Heading control

Heading angle and the lateral distance between airplane and desired flight trajectory can be controlled by adjusting rolling angle. Based on this idea, lateral guidance law can be obtained. Saturation function is used here for the same reason as in altitude holding control loop. The heading control law is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{a}=-0.68 k_{21}\left[k_{22}\left(\varphi_{d}-\varphi\right)-p\right] \\
& +k_{\psi} \operatorname{sat}\left(\psi-\Psi_{d}\right)+k_{\Delta y} \operatorname{sat}(\Delta y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Delta y$ denotes the lateral distance error.


Figure 5 Simulation results for attitude control

## VI. ATTITUTE DETERMINATION

To accomplish autonomous guidance and control, the following signals are needed: three attitude angles, angular rates and real-time position. In the design stage of guidance and control law of the MAV, it is supposed that the full states feedback is available. However, in actual flight the position and attitude information can only be obtained by certain navigation sensors on board, including GPS, accelerometer, gyroscope
and magnetic sensor, etc. The limited volume of the MAV and the capability of the CPU on board prevent us from using the mature algorithm whose computation burden is too much to be affordable to determine the attitude. Therefore a simple enough algorithm with certain accuracy must be devised by using those sensors. The following diagram is a basic scheme which is being done now to determine attitude. Accroding to this scheme, first of all, we must try to compute the rolling angle by the output of accelerometer with the aid of GPS; next, using the output of gyroscope and the value of rolling angle, through certain flight mechanic, pitch angle can be determined; last, heading angle can be derived by compensating the output of magnetic sensor with pitch and rolling angle. Thus, the attitude of MAV is available.

## VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper a nonlinear model of Pégase-50 is established. The guidance and control laws are presented based on a linearization model. The attitude control law is verified by
nonlinear simulation. The further works include:
$>$ to develop a simple attitude determination algorithm
$>$ to analyse the robustness of the control law
$>$ to identify the fault flight condition model on line and to design reconfigurable control law
$\rightarrow$ to study the feasibility of open loop control


Figure 6 Attitude determination scheme

## APPENDIX

The twelve degrees nonlinear equation of Pégase is:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{V}_{x}=\frac{P-F_{x} \cos \alpha \cos \beta-F_{z} \sin \alpha-F_{y} \cos \alpha \sin \beta}{m}-g \sin \theta-V_{y} r-V_{z} q \\
\dot{V}_{y}=\frac{-F_{x} \sin \beta+F_{y} \cos \beta}{m}+g \cos \theta \sin \varphi+V_{z} p-V_{x} r \\
\dot{V}_{z}=\frac{-F_{x} \sin \alpha \cos \beta+F_{z} \cos \alpha-F_{y} \sin \alpha \sin \beta}{m}+g \cos \theta \cos \varphi+V_{x} q-V_{y} p \\
\\
\dot{p}=\frac{M_{x}+\Delta w_{x}+\left(I_{y}-I_{z}+\frac{I_{x z}{ }^{2}}{I_{z}}\right) q r-\left(I_{x z}+\frac{I_{x z}}{I_{z}\left(I_{x}-I_{y}\right)}\right) p q+\frac{I_{x z}}{I_{z}} M_{z}}{I_{x}-I_{x z}{ }^{2} / I_{z}} \\
\dot{q}=\left(M_{y}+\Delta w_{y}+\left(I_{z}-I_{x}\right) p r-I_{x z}\left(r^{2}-p^{2}\right) / I_{y}\right. \\
\dot{r}=-\left(-M_{z}+\Delta w_{z}-\left(I_{x}-I_{y}\right) p q+I_{x z}(-q r+\dot{p})\right) / I_{z} \\
\dot{\psi}=\left(\omega_{y} \cos \varphi-q \sin \varphi\right) / \cos \theta \\
\dot{\theta}=-r \sin \varphi+p \cos \varphi \\
\dot{\varphi}=p+\tan \theta(+r \cos \varphi+p \sin \varphi) \\
\dot{h}=V_{x} \sin \theta-V_{z} \cos \theta \cos \varphi-V_{y} \cos \theta \sin \varphi \\
\dot{x}=V_{x} \cos \psi \cos \theta-V_{z}(\sin \psi \sin \varphi-\cos \psi \sin \theta \cos \varphi)+V_{y}(\sin \psi \cos \varphi+\cos \psi \sin \theta \sin \varphi) \\
\dot{y}=-V_{x} \sin \psi \cos \theta-V_{z}(\cos \psi \sin \varphi+\sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \varphi)+V_{y}(\cos \psi \cos \varphi-\sin \psi \sin \theta \sin \varphi)
\end{array}\right.
$$
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